

HILFER AND HADAMARD FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN FRÉCHET SPACES

SAÏD ABBAS¹, MOUFFAK BENCHOHRA², NAIMA HAMIDI² AND JUAN J. NIETO³

ABSTRACT. In this paper, by using the fixed point theory and the technique relying on the concept of measure of noncompactness in Fréchet spaces, we prove some existence and Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability results for some Hilfer and Hilfer–Hadamard fractional differential equations.

Keywords: fractional differential equation, left-sided mixed integral, fractional order, Hilfer fractional derivative, measure of noncompactness, Fréchet space, existence, Ulam stability, fixed point.

AMS Subject Classification: 26A33.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional calculus is a branch of classical mathematics, which deals with the generalization of operations of differentiation and integration to fractional order. Fractional differential equations have recently been applied in various areas of engineering, mathematics, physics and bio-engineering, and other applied sciences [15, 34]. For some fundamental results in the theory of fractional calculus and fractional differential equations we refer the reader to the monographs [4, 5, 6, 21, 30, 38], the papers [3, 7, 8, 24, 31, 32, 33] and the references therein. Recently, considerable attention has been given to the existence of solutions of initial and boundary value problems for fractional differential equations with Hilfer fractional derivative; [12, 13, 15, 19, 35, 37], and the references therein.

The stability of functional equations was originally raised by [36] and by [16]. Thereafter, this type of stability is called the Ulam–Hyers stability. In [27] was provided a remarkable generalization of the Ulam–Hyers stability of mappings by considering variables. The concept of stability for a functional equation arises when we replace the functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. Considerable attention has been given to the study of the Ulam–Hyers and Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability of all kinds of functional equations; one can see the monographs [6, 17], the papers [1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 23, 28, 29] and discussed the Ulam–Hyers stability for operatorial equations and inclusions. More details from historical point of view, and recent developments of such stabilities are reported in [18, 28].

Recently, in [10, 11] the authors applied the measure of noncompactness to some classes of functional integral equations in Fréchet spaces. Motivated by the above papers, we discuss

¹Laboratory of Mathematics, Geometry, Analysis, Control and Applications, Tahar Moulay University of Saïda Algeria

²Laboratory of Mathematics, Djillali Liabes University of Sidi Bel-Abbès, Algeria

³Departamento de Estatística, Análise Matemática e Optimización, Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Spain

e-mail: abbasmsaid@yahoo.fr, benchohra@yahoo.com, hamidi.naima@yahoo.fr, juanjose.nieto.roig@usc.es

Manuscript received May 21, 2018.

the existence and the Ulam stability of solutions for the following problem of Hilfer fractional differential equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} (D_0^{\alpha,\beta}u)(t) = f(t, u(t)); t \in \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, +\infty), \\ (I_0^{1-\gamma}u)(0) = \phi, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in [0, 1]$, $\gamma = \alpha + \beta - \alpha\beta$, $T > 0$, $\phi \in E$, $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times E \rightarrow E$ is a given function, E is a real (or complex) Banach space with a norm $\| \cdot \|$, $I_0^{1-\gamma}$ is the left-sided mixed Riemann–Liouville integral of order $1 - \gamma$, and $D_0^{\alpha,\beta}$ is the generalized Riemann–Liouville derivative operator of order α and type β , introduced by Hilfer in [15].

Next, we consider the following problem of Hilfer–Hadamard fractional differential equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} ({}^H D_1^{\alpha,\beta}u)(t) = g(t, u(t)); t \in [1, \infty), \\ ({}^H I_1^{1-\gamma}u)(1) = \phi_0, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in [0, 1]$, $\gamma = \alpha + \beta - \alpha\beta$, $T > 1$, $\phi_0 \in E$, $g : [1, \infty) \times E \rightarrow E$ is a given function, ${}^H I_1^{1-\gamma}$ is the left-sided mixed Hadamard integral of order $1 - \gamma$, and ${}^H D_1^{\alpha,\beta}$ is the Hilfer–Hadamard fractional derivative of order α and type β .

This paper initiates the use of the measure of noncompactness in Fréchet spaces for the Ulam stability of problems (1) and (2).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let C be the Banach space of all continuous functions v from $I := [0, T]$; $T > 0$ into E with the supremum (uniform) norm

$$\|v\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in I} \|v(t)\|.$$

As usual, $AC(I)$ denotes the space of absolutely continuous functions from I into E . By $L^1(I)$, we denote the space of Bochner-integrable functions $v : I \rightarrow E$ with the norm

$$\|v\|_1 = \int_0^T |v(t)| dt.$$

By $C_\gamma(I)$ and $C_\gamma^1(I)$, we denote the weighted spaces of continuous functions defined by

$$C_\gamma(I) = \{w : (0, T] \rightarrow E : t^{1-\gamma}w(t) \in C\},$$

with the norm

$$\|w\|_{C_\gamma} := \sup_{t \in I} |t^{1-\gamma}w(t)|,$$

and

$$C_\gamma^1(I) = \{w \in C : \frac{dw}{dt} \in C_\gamma\},$$

with the norm

$$\|w\|_{C_\gamma^1} := \|w\|_\infty + \|w'\|_{C_\gamma}.$$

Let $C(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be the Fréchet space of all continuous functions v from \mathbb{R}_+ into E , equipped with the family of seminorms

$$\|v\|_n = \sup_{t \in [0, n]} \|v(t)\|; n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In what follows, we will work in the weighted Fréchet space $X := C_\gamma(\mathbb{R}_+)$ of continuous functions defined by

$$X = \{w : (0, \infty) \rightarrow E : t^{1-\gamma}w(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+)\},$$

equipped with the family of seminorms

$$\|v\|_n = \sup_{t \in [0, n]} \|t^{1-\gamma}v(t)\|; \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and the distance

$$d(u, v) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{\|u - v\|_n}{1 + \|u - v\|_n}; \quad u, v \in X.$$

Definition 2.1. A nonempty subset $B \subset X$ is said to be bounded if

$$\sup_{v \in X} \|v\|_n < \infty; \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We recall the following definition of the notion of a sequence of measures of noncompactness [12, 13].

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{M}_X be the family of all nonempty and bounded subsets of a Fréchet space X . A family of functions $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $\mu_n : \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a family of measures of noncompactness in the real Fréchet space X if it satisfies the following conditions for all $B, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{M}_X$:

- (a) $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is full, that is: $\mu_n(B) = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if B is precompact,
- (b) $\mu_n(B_1) \leq \mu_n(B_2)$ for $B_1 \subset B_2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (c) $\mu_n(\text{Conv}B) = \mu_n(B)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (d) If $\{B_i\}_{i=1, \dots}$ is a sequence of closed sets from \mathcal{M}_X such that $B_{i+1} \subset B_i$; $i = 1, \dots$ and if $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(B_i) = 0$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the intersection set $B_\infty := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ is nonempty.

Some Properties

- (e) We call the family of measures of noncompactness $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be homogeneous if $\mu_n(\lambda B) = |\lambda| \mu_n(B)$; for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (f) If the family $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfied the condition $\mu_n(B_1 \cup B_2) \leq \mu_n(B_1) + \mu_n(B_2)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is called subadditive.
- (g) It is sublinear if both conditions (e) and (f) hold.
- (h) We say that the family of measures $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has the maximum property if

$$\mu_n(B_1 \cup B_2) = \max\{\mu_n(B_1), \mu_n(B_2)\},$$

- (i) The family of measures of noncompactness $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be regular if if the conditions (a), (g) and (h) hold; (full sublinear and has maximum property).

Example 2.1. For $B \in \mathcal{M}_X$, $x \in B$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, let us denote by $\omega^n(x, \epsilon)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$; the modulus of continuity of the function x on the interval $[0, n]$; that is,

$$\omega^n(x, \epsilon) = \sup\{|x(t) - x(s)| : t, s \in [0, n], |t - s| \leq \epsilon\}.$$

Further, let us put

$$\omega^n(B, \epsilon) = \sup\{\omega^n(x, \epsilon) : x \in B\},$$

$$\omega_0^n(B) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \omega^n(B, \epsilon),$$

$$\bar{\alpha}^n(B) = \sup_{t \in [0, n]} \alpha(B(t)) := \sup_{t \in [0, n]} \alpha(\{x(t) : x \in B\}),$$

and

$$\beta_n(B) = \omega_0^n(B) + \bar{\alpha}^n(B).$$

The family of mappings $\{\beta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $\beta_n : \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, satisfies the conditions (a)-(d) from Definition 2.2.

Now, we give some results and properties of fractional calculus.

Definition 2.3. [5, 21, 30] The left-sided mixed Riemann–Liouville integral of order $r > 0$ of a function $w \in L^1(I)$ is defined by

$$(I_0^r w)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{r-1} w(s) ds; \text{ for a.e. } t \in I,$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the (Euler’s) Gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(\xi) = \int_0^\infty t^{\xi-1} e^{-t} dt; \xi > 0.$$

Notice that for all $r, r_1, r_2 > 0$ and each $w \in C$, we have $I_0^r w \in C$, and

$$(I_0^{r_1} I_0^{r_2} w)(t) = (I_0^{r_1+r_2} w)(t); \text{ for a.e. } t \in I.$$

Definition 2.4. [5, 21, 30] The Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative of order $r \in (0, 1]$ of a function $w \in L^1(I)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (D_0^r w)(t) &= \left(\frac{d}{dt} I_0^{1-r} w \right) (t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-r)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-r} w(s) ds; \text{ for a.e. } t \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Let $r \in (0, 1]$, $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ and $w \in C_{1-\gamma}(I)$. Then the following expression leads to the left inverse operator as follows.

$$(D_0^r I_0^r w)(t) = w(t); \text{ for all } t \in (0, T].$$

Moreover, if $I_0^{1-r} w \in C_{1-\gamma}^1(I)$, then the following composition is proved in [30]

$$(I_0^r D_0^r w)(t) = w(t) - \frac{(I_0^{1-r} w)(0^+)}{\Gamma(r)} t^{r-1}; \text{ for all } t \in (0, T].$$

Definition 2.5. [5, 21, 30] The Caputo fractional derivative of order $r \in (0, 1]$ of a function $w \in L^1(I)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^c D_0^r w)(t) &= \left(I_0^{1-r} \frac{d}{dt} w \right) (t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-r)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-r} \frac{d}{ds} w(s) ds; \text{ for a.e. } t \in I. \end{aligned}$$

In [15], Hilfer studied applications of a generalized fractional operator having the Riemann–Liouville and the Caputo derivatives as specific cases (see also [19, 35]).

Definition 2.6. (Hilfer derivative). Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in [0, 1]$, $w \in L^1(I)$, $I_0^{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} \in AC(I)$. The Hilfer fractional derivative of order α and type β of w is defined as

$$(D_0^{\alpha, \beta} w)(t) = \left(I_0^{\beta(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} I_0^{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} w \right) (t); \text{ for a.e. } t \in I. \tag{3}$$

Some Properties. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in [0, 1]$, $\gamma = \alpha + \beta - \alpha\beta$, and $w \in L^1(I)$.

1. The operator $(D_0^{\alpha,\beta}w)(t)$ can be written as

$$(D_0^{\alpha,\beta}w)(t) = \left(I_0^{\beta(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} I_0^{1-\gamma} w \right) (t) = \left(I_0^{\beta(1-\alpha)} D_0^\gamma w \right) (t); \text{ for a.e. } t \in I.$$

Moreover, the parameter γ satisfies

$$\gamma \in (0, 1], \quad \gamma \geq \alpha, \quad \gamma > \beta, \quad 1 - \gamma < 1 - \beta(1 - \alpha).$$

2. The generalization (3) for $\beta = 0$, coincides with the Riemann–Liouville derivative and for $\beta = 1$ with the Caputo derivative.

$$D_0^{\alpha,0} = D_0^\alpha, \text{ and } D_0^{\alpha,1} = {}^c D_0^\alpha.$$

3. If $D_0^{\beta(1-\alpha)}w$ exists and in $L^1(I)$, then

$$(D_0^{\alpha,\beta} I_0^\alpha w)(t) = (I_0^{\beta(1-\alpha)} D_0^{\beta(1-\alpha)} w)(t); \text{ for a.e. } t \in I.$$

Furthermore, if $w \in C_\gamma(I)$ and $I_0^{1-\beta(1-\alpha)}w \in C_\gamma^1(I)$, then

$$(D_0^{\alpha,\beta} I_0^\alpha w)(t) = w(t); \text{ for a.e. } t \in I.$$

4. If $D_0^\gamma w$ exists and in $L^1(I)$, then

$$(I_0^\alpha D_0^{\alpha,\beta} w)(t) = (I_0^\gamma D_0^\gamma w)(t) = w(t) - \frac{I_0^{1-\gamma}(0^+)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} t^{\gamma-1}; \text{ for a.e. } t \in I.$$

Corollary 2.1. Let $h \in C_\gamma(I)$. Then the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} (D_0^{\alpha,\beta} u)(t) = h(t); & t \in I, \\ (I_0^{1-\gamma} u)(t)|_{t=0} = \phi, \end{cases}$$

has the following unique solution

$$w(t) = \frac{\phi}{\Gamma(\gamma)} t^{\gamma-1} + (I_0^\alpha h)(t).$$

From the above corollary, we conclude with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let $f : I \times E \rightarrow E$ be such that $f(\cdot, u(\cdot)) \in C_\gamma$ for any $u \in C_\gamma$. Then problem (1) is equivalent to the problem of the solutions of the integral equation

$$u(t) = \frac{\phi}{\Gamma(\gamma)} t^{\gamma-1} + (I_0^\alpha f(\cdot, u(\cdot)))(t).$$

Now, we consider the Ulam stability for the problem (1). Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\Phi_n : [0, n] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a continuous function. We consider the following inequalities

$$\|(D_0^{\alpha,\beta} u)(t) - f(t, u(t))\| \leq \epsilon_n; \quad t \in [0, n]. \quad (4)$$

$$\|(D_0^{\alpha,\beta} u)(t) - f(t, u(t))\| \leq \Phi_n(t); \quad t \in [0, n]. \quad (5)$$

$$\|(D_0^{\alpha,\beta} u)(t) - f(t, u(t))\| \leq \epsilon_n \Phi_n(t); \quad t \in [0, n]. \quad (6)$$

Definition 2.7. [5, 28] The problem (1) is Ulam–Hyers stable if there exists a real number $c_{n,f} > 0$; $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution $u \in X$ of the inequality (4) there exists a solution $v \in X$ of (1) with

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\| \leq \epsilon c_{n,f}; \quad t \in [0, n].$$

Definition 2.8. [5, 28] *The problem (1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers stable if there exists $c_{n,f} \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ with $c_{n,f}(0) = 0$ such that for each $\epsilon_n > 0$ and for each solution $u \in X$ of the inequality (4) there exists a solution $v \in X$ of (1) with*

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\| \leq c_{n,f}(\epsilon_n); \quad t \in [0, n].$$

Definition 2.9. [5, 28] *The problem (1) is Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with respect to Φ_n if there exists a real number $c_{n,f,\Phi_n} > 0$ such that for each $\epsilon_n > 0$ and for each solution $u \in X$ of the inequality (6) there exists a solution $v \in X$ of (1) with*

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\| \leq \epsilon_n c_{n,f,\Phi_n} \Phi_n(t); \quad t \in [0, n].$$

Definition 2.10. [5, 28] *The problem (1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with respect to Φ_n if there exists a real number $c_{n,f,\Phi_n} > 0$ such that for each solution $u \in X$ of the inequality (5) there exists a solution $v \in X$ of (1) with*

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\| \leq c_{n,f,\Phi_n} \Phi_n(t); \quad t \in [0, n].$$

Remark 2.1. *It is clear that*

- (i) Definition 2 \Rightarrow Definition 2,
- (ii) Definition 2 \Rightarrow Definition 2,
- (iii) Definition 2 for $\Phi(\cdot) = 1 \Rightarrow$ Definition 2.

One can have similar remarks for the inequalities (4) and (6).

Lemma 2.2. [9] *If Y is a bounded subset of Fréchet space X , then for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a sequence $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset Y$ such that*

$$\mu_n(Y) \leq 2\mu_n(\{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) + \epsilon; \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 2.3. [22] *If $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset L^1(I)$ is uniformly integrable, then $\mu_n(\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)$ is measurable for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and*

$$\mu_n \left(\left\{ \int_0^t u_k(s) ds \right\}_{k=1}^\infty \right) \leq 2 \int_0^t \mu_n(\{u_k(s)\}_{k=1}^\infty) ds,$$

for each $t \in [0, n]$.

Definition 2.11. *Let Ω be a nonempty subset of a Fréchet space X , and let $A : \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a continuous operator which transforms bounded subsets of Ω onto bounded ones. One says that A satisfies the Darbo condition with constants $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with respect to a family of measures of noncompactness $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, if*

$$\mu_n(A(B)) \leq k_n \mu_n(B)$$

for each bounded set $B \subset \Omega$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $k_n < 1$; $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then A is called a contraction with respect to $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

In the sequel we will make use of the following generalization of the classical Darbo fixed point theorem for Fréchet spaces.

Theorem 2.1. [10, 11] *Let Ω be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a Fréchet space F and let $V : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a continuous mapping. Suppose that V is a contraction with respect to a family of measures of noncompactness $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then V has at least one fixed point in the set Ω .*

We recall Gronwall’s lemma for singular kernels.

Lemma 2.4.(Gronwall lemma) [Lemma 7.1.1, [14]] *Let $v : I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a real function and $\omega(t)$ be a measurable, nonnegative and locally integrable function on I . If there are constants $c > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that*

$$v(t) \leq \omega(t) + c \int_0^t \frac{v(s)}{(t-s)^\alpha} ds,$$

then there exists a constant $\delta = \delta(\alpha)$ such that

$$v(t) \leq \omega(t) + \delta c \int_0^t \frac{\omega(s)}{(t-s)^\alpha} ds,$$

for every $t \in I$.

3. HILFER FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN FRÉCHET SPACES

In this section, we are concerned with the existence and the generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability of our problem (1).

Definition 3.1. *By a solution of the problem (1) we mean a measurable function $u \in X$ that satisfies the condition $(I_0^{1-\gamma}u)(0^+) = \phi$, and the equation $(D_0^{\alpha,\beta}u)(t) = f(t, u(t))$ on \mathbb{R}_+ .*

The following hypotheses will be used in the sequel.

- (H₁) The function $t \mapsto f(t, u)$ is measurable on I for each $u \in E$, and the function $u \mapsto f(t, u)$ is continuous on E for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,
 (H₂) There exists a continuous function $p : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|f(t, u) - f(t, v)\| \leq \frac{p(t)\|u - v\|}{1 + \|u - v\|}; \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \text{ and each } u, v \in E,$$

- (H₃) For each bounded and measurable set $B \subset E$ and for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have

$$\mu(f(t, B)) \leq p(t)\mu(B).$$

- (H₄) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\lambda_{\Phi_n} > 0$ such that for each $t \in [0, n]$, we have

$$(I_0^\alpha \Phi_n)(t) \leq \lambda_{\Phi_n} \Phi_n(t).$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$p_n^* = \sup_{t \in [0, n]} p(t), \quad f_n^* = \sup_{t \in [0, n]} |f(t, 0)|.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that the hypotheses (H₁) – (H₃) hold. If for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\ell_n := \frac{4p_n^* n^\alpha}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} < 1, \tag{7}$$

where

$$p_n^* = \sup_{t \in [0, n]} p(t),$$

then the problem (1) has at least one solution in X . Furthermore, if the hypothesis (H₄) holds, then the problem (1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable.

Proof. Consider the operator $N : X \rightarrow X$ defined by:

$$(Nu)(t) = \frac{\phi}{\Gamma(\gamma)} t^{\gamma-1} + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \frac{f(s, u(s))}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds. \quad (8)$$

Clearly, the fixed points of the operator N are solution of the problem (1).

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$f_n^* = \sup_{t \in [0, n]} |f(t, 0)|.$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and each $u \in X$ and $t \in [0, n]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|t^{1-\gamma}(Nu)(t)\| &\leq \frac{\|\phi\|}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|f(s, u(s))\| ds \\ &\leq \frac{\|\phi\|}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|f(s, 0)\| ds + \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|f(s, u(s)) - f(s, 0)\| ds \\ &\leq \frac{\|\phi\|}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (\|f(s, 0)\| + p(s)) ds \leq \frac{\|\phi\|}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \frac{(f_n^* + p_n^*) T^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \\ &\leq \frac{\|\phi\|}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \frac{(f_n^* + p_n^*) n^{1-\gamma+\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|N(u)\|_n \leq \frac{\|\phi\|}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \frac{(f_n^* + p_n^*) n^{1-\gamma+\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} := R_n. \quad (9)$$

This proves that N transforms the ball $B_{R_n} := B(0, R_n) = \{w \in X : \|w\|_n \leq R_n\}$ into itself. We shall show that the operator $N : B_R \rightarrow B_R$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. The proof will be given in several steps.

Step 1. $N : B_R \rightarrow B_R$ is continuous.

Let $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ in B_{R_n} . Then, for each $t \in [0, n]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|t^{1-\gamma}(Nu_k)(t) - t^{1-\gamma}(Nu)(t)\| &\leq \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|f(s, u_k(s)) - f(s, u(s))\| ds \\ &\leq \frac{t^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) \|u_k(s) - u(s)\| ds \leq \frac{p_n^* T^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|u_k(s) - u(s)\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|t^{1-\gamma}(Nu_k)(t) - t^{1-\gamma}(Nu)(t)\| \leq \frac{p_n^* n^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|u_k(s) - u(s)\| ds. \quad (10)$$

Since $u_k \rightarrow u$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then equation (10) implies

$$\|N(u_k) - N(u)\|_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Step 2. $N(B_{R_n})$ is bounded.

Since $N(B_{R_n}) \subset B_{R_n}$ and B_{R_n} is bounded, then $N(B_{R_n})$ is bounded.

Step 3. For each bounded subset D of B_{R_n} , $\mu_n(N(D)) \leq \ell_n \mu_n(D)$.

From Lemmas 2.2., 2.3., for any $D \subset B_{R_n}$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \subset D$, such that for all $t \in [0, n]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((ND)(t)) &= \mu \left(\left\{ \frac{\phi}{\Gamma(\gamma)} t^{\gamma-1} + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \frac{f(s, u(s))}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds; u \in D \right\} \right) \\ &\leq 2\mu \left(\left\{ \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s, u_k(s)) ds \right\}_{k=1}^\infty \right) + \epsilon \leq 4 \int_0^t \mu \left(\left\{ \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s, u_k(s)) \right\}_{k=1}^\infty \right) ds + \epsilon \\ &\leq 4 \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \mu(\{f(s, u_k(s))\}_{k=1}^\infty) ds + \epsilon \leq 4 \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} p(s) \mu(\{u_k(s)\}_{k=1}^\infty) ds + \epsilon \\ &\leq \left(4 \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} p(s) ds \right) \mu(\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) + \epsilon \leq \left(4 \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} p(s) ds \right) \mu_n(D) + \epsilon \\ &\leq \frac{4p_n^* n^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \mu_n(D) + \epsilon \leq \ell_n \mu_n(D) + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, then

$$\mu_n(N(B)) \leq \ell_n \mu_n(B).$$

As a consequence of steps 1 to 3 together with Theorem 2.1, we can conclude that N has at least one fixed point in B_{R_n} which is a solution of problem (1).

Step 4. The generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability.

Let u be a solution of the inequality (5), and let us assume that v is a solution of problem (1). Thus, we have

$$v(t) = \frac{\phi}{\Gamma(\gamma)} t^{\gamma-1} + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \frac{f(s, v(s))}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds.$$

From the inequality (5), for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $t \in [0, n]$, we have

$$\left\| u(t) - \frac{\phi}{\Gamma(\gamma)} t^{\gamma-1} - \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \frac{f(s, u(s))}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \right\| \leq (I_0^\alpha \Phi)(t).$$

From hypotheses (H_2) and (H_4) , for each $t \in [0, n]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - v(t)\| &\leq \left\| u(t) - \frac{\phi}{\Gamma(\gamma)} t^{\gamma-1} - \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \frac{f(s, u(s))}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \right\| \\ &+ \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\|f(s, u(s)) - f(s, v(s))\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \leq (I_0^\alpha \Phi)(t) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \frac{p(s) \|u(s) - v(s)\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \\ &\leq \lambda_\phi \Phi(t) + \frac{p_n^*}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|u(s) - v(s)\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.4., there exists a constant $\delta = \delta(\alpha)$ such that

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\| \leq \lambda_\phi[\Phi(t) + \frac{\delta p_n^*}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \Phi(s) ds] \leq [1 + \delta p_n^* \lambda_\Phi] \lambda_\phi \Phi(t) := c_{n,f,\Phi} \Phi(t).$$

Hence, the problem (1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable.

4. HILFER–HADAMARD FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Now, we are concerned with the existence and the generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability of our problem (2).

Set $C := C([1, T])$, and denote the weighted space of continuous functions defined by

$$C_{\gamma, \ln}([1, T]) = \{w(t) : (\ln t)^{1-\gamma} w(t) \in C\},$$

with the norm

$$\|w\|_{C_{\gamma, \ln}} := \sup_{t \in [1, T]} \|(\ln t)^{1-\gamma} w(t)\|.$$

Let $C([1, \infty))$ be the Fréchet space of all continuous functions v from $[1, \infty)$ into E , equipped with the family of seminorms

$$\|v\|_n = \sup_{t \in [1, n]} \|v(t)\|; \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Now, we will work in the weighted Fréchet space $F := C_{\gamma, \ln}$ of continuous functions defined by

$$F = \{w : (1, \infty) \rightarrow E : (\ln t)^{1-\gamma} w(t) \in C([1, \infty))\},$$

equipped with the family of seminorms

$$\|v\|_n = \sup_{t \in [1, n]} \|(\ln t)^{1-\gamma} v(t)\|; \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

Let us recall some definitions and properties of Hadamard fractional integration and differentiation. We refer to [21] for a more detailed analysis.

Definition 4.1. [21] (*Hadamard fractional integral*). *The Hadamard fractional integral of order $q > 0$ for a function $g \in L^1([1, T])$, is defined as*

$$({}^H I_1^q g)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_1^x \left(\ln \frac{x}{s}\right)^{q-1} \frac{g(s)}{s} ds,$$

provided the integral exists.

Example 4.1. Let $0 < q < 1$. Then

$${}^H I_1^q \ln t = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2+q)} (\ln t)^{1+q}; \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, e].$$

Set

$$\delta = x \frac{d}{dx}, \quad q > 0, \quad n = [q] + 1,$$

and

$$AC_\delta^n := \{u : [1, T] \rightarrow E : \delta^{n-1}[u(x)] \in AC(I)\}.$$

Analogous to the Riemann–Liouville fractional calculus, the Hadamard fractional derivative is defined in terms of the Hadamard fractional integral in the following way:

Definition 4.2. [21] (*Hadamard fractional derivative*). The Hadamard fractional derivative of order $q > 0$ applied to the function $w \in AC_{\delta}^n$ is defined as

$$({}^H D_1^q w)(x) = \delta^n ({}^H I_1^{n-q} w)(x).$$

In particular, if $q \in (0, 1]$, then

$$({}^H D_1^q w)(x) = \delta ({}^H I_1^{1-q} w)(x).$$

Example 4.2. Let $0 < q < 1$. Then

$${}^H D_1^q \ln t = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-q)} (\ln t)^{1-q}, \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, e].$$

It has been proved (see e.g. Kilbas [20], Theorem 4.8]) that in the space $L^1(I)$, the Hadamard fractional derivative is the left-inverse operator to the Hadamard fractional integral, i.e.

$$({}^H D_1^q)({}^H I_1^q w)(x) = w(x).$$

From Theorem 2.3 of [21], we have

$$({}^H I_1^q)({}^H D_1^q w)(x) = w(x) - \frac{({}^H I_1^{1-q} w)(1)}{\Gamma(q)} (\ln x)^{q-1}.$$

Analogous to the Hadamard fractional calculus, the Caputo–Hadamard fractional derivative is defined in the following way:

Definition 4.3. (*Caputo–Hadamard fractional derivative*). The Caputo–Hadamard fractional derivative of order $q > 0$ applied to the function $w \in AC_{\delta}^n$ is defined as

$$({}^{Hc} D_1^q w)(x) = ({}^H I_1^{n-q} \delta^n w)(x).$$

In particular, if $q \in (0, 1]$, then

$$({}^{Hc} D_1^q w)(x) = ({}^H I_1^{1-q} \delta w)(x).$$

From the Hadamard fractional integral, the Hilfer–Hadamard fractional derivative (introduced for the first time in [25]) is defined in the following way:

Definition 4.4. (*Hilfer–Hadamard fractional derivative*). Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in [0, 1]$, $\gamma = \alpha + \beta - \alpha\beta$, $w \in L^1(I)$, and ${}^H I_1^{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} w \in AC^1(I)$. The Hilfer–Hadamard fractional derivative of order α and type β applied to the function w is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^H D_1^{\alpha, \beta} w)(t) &= \left({}^H I_1^{\beta(1-\alpha)} ({}^H D_1^{\gamma} w) \right) (t) \\ &= \left({}^H I_1^{\beta(1-\alpha)} \delta ({}^H I_1^{1-\gamma} w) \right) (t); \text{ for a.e. } t \in [1, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This new fractional derivative (11) may be viewed as interpolating the Hadamard fractional derivative and the Caputo–Hadamard fractional derivative. Indeed for $\beta = 0$ this derivative reduces to the Hadamard fractional derivative and when $\beta = 1$, we recover the Caputo–Hadamard fractional derivative.

$${}^H D_1^{\alpha, 0} = {}^H D_1^{\alpha}, \text{ and } {}^H D_1^{\alpha, 1} = {}^{Hc} D_1^{\alpha}.$$

From Theorem 21 in [26], we concluded the following lemma

Lemma 4.1. Let $g : [1, T] \times E \rightarrow E$ be such that $g(\cdot, u(\cdot)) \in C_{\gamma, \ln}([1, T])$ for any $u \in C_{\gamma, \ln}([1, T])$. Then Then problem (2) is equivalent to the following Volterra integral equation

$$u(t) = \frac{\phi_0}{\Gamma(\gamma)} (\ln t)^{\gamma-1} + ({}^H I_1^{\alpha} g(\cdot, u(\cdot)))(t).$$

Definition 4.5. *By a solution of the problem (2) we mean a measurable function $u \in C_{\gamma, \ln}$ that satisfies the condition $({}^H I_1^{1-\gamma} u)(1^+) = \phi_0$, and the equation $({}^H D_1^{\alpha, \beta} u)(t) = g(t, u(t))$ on $[1, T]$.*

Now we give (without proof) similar existence and Ulam stability results for problem (2). Let us introduce the following hypotheses:

- (H'_1) The function $t \mapsto g(t, u)$ is measurable on $[1, \infty)$ for each $u \in E$, and the function $u \mapsto g(t, u)$ is continuous on E for a.e. $t \in [1, \infty)$,
- (H'_2) There exists a continuous function $q : [1, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|g(t, u) - g(t, v)\| \leq \frac{q(t)\|u - v\|}{1 + \|u - v\|}; \text{ for a.e. } t \in [1, \infty), \text{ and each } u, v \in E,$$

- (H'_3) For each bounded and measurable set $B \subset F$ and for each $t \in [1, \infty)$, we have

$$\mu(g(t, B)) \leq q(t)\mu(B),$$

- (H'_4) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exists $\lambda_{\Phi_n} > 0$ such that for each $t \in [1, n]$, we have

$$({}^H I_1^\alpha \Phi_n)(t) \leq \lambda_{\Phi_n} \Phi_n(t).$$

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that the hypotheses $(H'_1) - (H'_3)$ hold. If*

$$\ell_n^* := \frac{4q_n^*(\ln n)^\alpha}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} < 1, \tag{12}$$

where $q_n^* = \sup_{t \in [1, n]} q(t)$, then the problem (2) has at least one solution defined on I . Furthermore, if the hypothesis (H'_4) holds, then the problem (2) is generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable.

5. AN EXAMPLE

Let

$$E = l^1 = \left\{ u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, \dots), \sum_{k=1}^\infty |u_k| < \infty \right\}$$

be the Banach space with the norm

$$\|u\|_E = \sum_{k=1}^\infty |u_k|.$$

Consider the Hilfer fractional differential equation of the form

$$\begin{cases} (D_0^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} u_k)(t) = f_k(t, u(t)); t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ (I_0^{\frac{1}{4}} u_k)(t)|_{t=0} = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots), \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} f_k(t, u) = \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{4}} u_k(t) \sin t}{64(1 + \sqrt{t})(1 + \|u\|_E)}; t \in (0, \infty), \\ f_k(0, u) = 0, \end{cases}$$

with

$$f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k, \dots), u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, \dots), c := \frac{e^3}{8} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Set $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$, then $\gamma = \frac{3}{4}$. The hypothesis (H_2) is satisfied with

$$\begin{cases} p(t) = \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{4}} |\sin t|}{64(1 + \sqrt{t})}; & t \in (0, \infty), \\ p(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, Theorem 3.1 implies that the problem (13) has at least one solution defined on \mathbb{R}_+ . Also, the hypothesis (H_4) is satisfied with

$$\Phi_n(t) = e^3, \quad \lambda_{\Phi_n} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)}; \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Indeed, for each $t \in [0, n]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (I_0^\alpha \Phi_n)(t) &\leq \frac{e^3}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \\ &= \lambda_{\Phi_n} \Phi_n(t). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the problem (13) is generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work of J.J. Nieto has been partially supported by Agencia Estatal de Investigacion (AEI) of Spain under grant MTM2016-75140-P, co-financed by the European Community fund FEDER, and XUNTA de Galicia under grant GRC2015-004.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abbas, S., Albarakati, W., Benchohra, M. and N'Guérékata, G.M., (2016), Existence and Ulam stabilities for Hadamard fractional integral equations in Fréchet spaces, *J. Frac. Calc. Appl.*, 7(2), pp.1-12.
- [2] Abbas, S., Albarakati, W., Benchohra, M. and Sivasundaram, S., (2015), Dynamics and stability of Fredholm type fractional order Hadamard integral equations, *J. Nonlinear Stud.*, 22(4), pp.673-686.
- [3] Abbas, S., Benchohra, M., (2015), Existence and Ulam stability results for quadratic integral equations, *Libertas Math.*, 35(2), pp.83-93.
- [4] Abbas, S., Benchohra, M., Graef, J.R., and Henderson, J., (2018), *Implicit Fractional Differential and Integral Equations: Existence and Stability*, De Gruyter, Berlin.
- [5] Abbas, S., Benchohra, M. and N'Guérékata, G.M., (2012), *Topics in Fractional Differential Equations*, Springer, New York.
- [6] Abbas, S., Benchohra, M. and N'Guérékata, G.M., (2015), *Advanced Fractional Differential and Integral Equations*, Nova Science Publishers, New York.
- [7] Abbas, S., Benchohra, M. and Petrusel, A., (2014), Ulam stabilities for the Darboux problem for partial fractional differential inclusions via Picard Operators, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, 1, pp.1-13.
- [8] Abbas, S., Benchohra, M. and Sivasundaram, S., (2013), Ulam stability for partial fractional differential inclusions with multiple delay and impulses via Picard operators, *J. Nonlinear Stud.*, 20(4), pp.623-641.
- [9] Bothe, D., (1998), Multivalued perturbation of m -accretive differential inclusions, *Isr. J. Math.*, 108, pp.109-138.
- [10] Dudek, S., (2017), Fixed point theorems in Fréchet algebras and Fréchet spaces and applications to nonlinear integral equations, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, 11, pp.340-357.
- [11] Dudek, S. and Olszowy, L., (2015), Continuous dependence of the solutions of nonlinear integral quadratic Volterra equation on the parameter, *J. Funct. Spaces*, 2015, Article ID 471235, 9 pages.
- [12] Furati, K.M. and Kassim, M.D., (2013), Non-existence of global solutions for a differential equation involving Hilfer fractional derivative, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 235, pp.1-10.
- [13] Furati, K.M. and Kassim, M.D. and Tatar, N.E., (2012), Existence and uniqueness for a problem involving Hilfer fractional derivative, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 64, pp.1616-1626.

- [14] Henry, D., (1989), *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Partial differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag: Berlin/New York.
- [15] Hilfer, R., (2000), *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore.
- [16] Hyers, D.H., (1941), On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 27, pp.222-224.
- [17] Jung, S.M., (2001), *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor.
- [18] Jung, S.M., (2011), *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer, New York.
- [19] Kamocki, R. and Obczński, C., (2016), On fractional Cauchy-type problems containing Hilfer's derivative, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, 50, pp.1-12.
- [20] Kilbas, A.A., (2001), Hadamard-type fractional calculus, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 38(6), pp. 1191-1204.
- [21] Kilbas, A.A, Srivastava, H.M. and Trujillo, J.J., (2006), *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam.
- [22] Mönch, H., (1980), Boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations of second order in Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 4, pp.985-999.
- [23] Petru, T.P, Petrusel, A. and Yao, J.-C., (2011), Ulam-Hyers stability for operatorial equations and inclusions via nonself operators, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 15, pp.2169-2193.
- [24] Podlubny, I., Petraš, I., Vinagre, B.M., O'Leary, P., and L. Dorčák, L., (2002), Analogue realizations of fractional-order controllers. fractional order calculus and its applications, *Nonlinear Dynam.*, 29, pp.281-296.
- [25] Qassim, M.D, Furati, K.M. and Tatar, N.-e., (2012), On a differential equation involving Hilfer-Hadamard fractional derivative, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, Article ID 391062, 17 pages.
- [26] Qassim, M.D. and Tatar, N.E., (2013), Well-posedness and stability for a differential problem with Hilfer-Hadamard fractional derivative, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, Article ID 605029, 12 pages.
- [27] Rassias, T.M., (1978), On the stability of linear mappings in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72, pp.297-300.
- [28] Rus, I.A., (2009), Ulam stability of ordinary differential equations, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math.*, LIV(4), pp.125-133.
- [29] Rus, A.I., (2009), Remarks on Ulam stability of the operatorial equations, *Fixed Point Theory*, 10, pp.305-320.
- [30] Samko, S.G., Kilbas, A.A. and Marichev, O.I., (1987), *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, Engl. Trans. from the Russian.
- [31] Srivastava, H.M., (2016), Some families of Mittag-Leffler type functions and associated operators of fractional calculus (survey), *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 7, pp.123-145.
- [32] Srivastava, H.M., (2017), Remarks on some families of fractional-order differential equations. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 28(7), pp.560-564.
- [33] Srivastava, H.M., Aliev, N.A., Mammadova, G.H., and Aliev, F.A., (2017), Some remarks on the paper, entitled "Fractional and operational calculus with generalized fractional derivative operators and Mittag-Leffler type functions" by Z. Tomovski, R. Hilfer and H. M. Srivastava, *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 8, pp.112-114.
- [34] Tarasov, V.E., (2010), *Fractional Dynamics: Application of Fractional Calculus to Dynamics of Particles, Fields and Media*, Springer, Heidelberg; Higher Education Press, Beijing.
- [35] Tomovski, Ž., Hilfer, R. and H.M. Srivastava, H.M., (2010), Fractional and operational calculus with generalized fractional derivative operators and Mittag-Leffler type functions, *Integral Transf. Spec. Funct.*, 21(11), pp.797-814.
- [36] Ulam, S.M., (1968), *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publishers, New York.
- [37] Wang, J.R. and Zhang, Y., (2015), Nonlocal initial value problems for differential equations with Hilfer fractional derivative, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 266, pp.850-859.
- [38] Zhou, Y., (2014), *Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore.



Saïd Abbas - is a Professor of mathematics at the University of Saida, Algeria. He got his Doctorate thesis in 2011 from the University of Sidi Bel Abbes, Algeria. His main researches include fractional differential equations and inclusions.



Mouffak Benchohra - is a Professor of mathematics at the University of Sidi Bel Abbes, Algeria. He got his Ph.D. degree in 1998 from the same University. His main researches include fractional differential equations and inclusions.



Juan J. Nieto - is a full Professor of Mathematical Analysis at the University of Santiago de Compostela and coordinator of the research group on Differential Equations. He has been a Fulbright Scholar at the University of Texas. He is a member of the Royal Academy of Sciences of Galicia. His main research interests are the study of dynamical systems, mathematical modeling and applications to biomedical issues.

Naima Hamidi, for a photograph and biography have not presented.